Air pollution levels over Europe under various future emission scenarios

Policy Conference, November 15, 2023 Ulas Im, PhD. (Aarhus University)

EXHAUSTION



- Ulas Im (AU), Zhuyun Ye (AU), Jesper H. Christensen (AU), Camilla Geels (AU), Risto Hanninen (FMI), Mikhail Sofiev (FMI), Øivind Hodnebrog (CICERO), Marit Sandstad (CICERO)

INTRODUCTION

Air pollution is today the environmental challenge associated with the highest mortality in Europe.

Heat waves and extreme temperatures directly impact mortality and morbidity.

 Reducing the rate of increasing cases of heart and lung diseases, or even avoiding them altogether with preventative measures, will substantially impact society by saving healthcare costs and improve quality-of-life through reduce suffering for many people.









MOTIVATION – I

Climate change leads to increased intensity and duration of heat waves



EXHAUSTION



> Heat waves are predicted to increase at even faster rates under even the most ambitious scenario.

>All regions across Europe are experiencing **increased** rates in temperature trends compared to the past **Southern Europe stands out as particularly**

vulnerable.

Ye et al., 2023, In prep.







MOTIVATION - II

Droughts and heat waves are expected to lead to increased wildland fires, a source of air pollution



EXHAUSTION

- >Large increases in grass, shrub, crop, and temperate forst fires in the future
- >In particular after mid-century
- >Increased air pollution episodes in downwind areas

Sofiev et al., In Prep.





METHODOLOGY

> A multi-model ensmeble of state of the art chemistry transport models to dynamically downscale climate and air pollution levels over Europe.

> Danish Eulerian Hemispheric Model (DEHM) \succ Integrated modeLling of Atmospheric coMposition (SILAM) > Weather Research and Forecasting model with Chemistry (WRF-Chem)

 \geq 2015-2050 under 3 scenarios Coupled Model Intercomparison Project (CMIP6)











FUTURE SURFACE CONCENTARTIONS



EXHAUSTION

%: Mean (2015-2024) vs Mean (2040-2049)



EXHAUSTION

SSP1-2.6



SSP2-4.5

SSP3-7.0

- Response in central Europe stands out
- > Ambitios mitigation leads to reductions in both O₃ and PM_{2.5} throughout Europe

3

0

-6







STATISTICAL DOWNSCALING TOOL - BRUSSELS



>Statistical downscaling from 30 km to 1 km spatial resolution using satellites and in-situ measurements



KEY MESSAGES

- levels
- There is increasing temperatures in all cities but heat island effect depends on local conditions
- 7.0) by up to 21% for O_3 and 43% for PM_{25}
- on a regional level
- of *uncertainty* (or model disagreements)



Heat wave duration & intensity + wildland fires are expected to increase leading to elevated air pollution

• Over Europe, surface concentrations are *projected to decrease under all emission scenarios* (not O₃ SSP3-

• Ambitous emission reductions can take the air pollution PM_{2.5} levels below the WHO recommendations

• Multi-model enemsbles can provide *more reliable* results compared to single models & some indication



KEY RECOMMENDATIONS

Regulation of health and climate relevant air pollutants, following the ambitious socioeconomic and emission pathways, are required immediately to improve the health of European citizens and go below WHO recommendations.

Urban and land-use planning should be taken into account for heat adaptation and action plans.

Observation networks with focus on health relevant air pollution should be extended to provide a datadriven evaluation of mitigation and adaptation strategies and predicted impacts.





EXHAUSTION



THANK YOU

Contact: ulas@envs.au.dk

MODEL EVALUATION (Monthly means)





	7	Simulation	Model	r	NM
	 DEHM-CESM WRF_Chem-CESM SILAM-CESM EnsMean-CESM DEHM-ERA5 WRF_Chem-ERA5 SILAM-ERA5 SILAM-ERA5 EnsMean-ERA5 Observation 	ERA5-WRF	DEHM	0.91	-0
			SILAM	0.89	-6
			WRF-Chem	0.88	-8
			Ensemble	0.92	-5
		CESM-WRF	DEHM	0.82	5
			SILAM	0.77	13
			WRF-Chem	0.74	1
			Ensemble	0.80	6
]	Simulation	Model	r	NM
		Simulation	Model DEHM	r 0.62	-32
	— DEHM-CESM — WRF_Chem-CESM	Simulation	Model DEHM SILAM	r 0.62 0.77	 NM -32 -11
	 DEHM-CESM WRF_Chem-CESM SILAM-CESM EnsMean-CESM 	Simulation ERA5-WRF	Model DEHM SILAM WRF-Chem	<pre>r 0.62 0.77 0.39</pre>	 NM -32 -11 -2
	 DEHM-CESM WRF_Chem-CESM SILAM-CESM EnsMean-CESM DEHM-ERA5 WRF_Chem-FRA5 	Simulation ERA5-WRF	Model DEHM SILAM WRF-Chem Ensemble	<pre>r 0.62 0.77 0.39 0.69</pre>	 NM -32 -11 -2 -15
	 DEHM-CESM WRF_Chem-CESM SILAM-CESM EnsMean-CESM DEHM-ERA5 WRF_Chem-ERA5 SILAM-ERA5 SILAM-ERA5 	Simulation ERA5-WRF	Model DEHM SILAM WRF-Chem Ensemble	 r 0.62 0.77 0.39 0.69 0.17 	 N/M -32 -11 -2 -15 -44
	 DEHM-CESM WRF_Chem-CESM SILAM-CESM EnsMean-CESM DEHM-ERA5 WRF_Chem-ERA5 SILAM-ERA5 SILAM-ERA5 Observation 	Simulation ERA5-WRF	Model DEHM SILAM WRF-Chem Ensemble DEHM SILAM	 r 0.62 0.77 0.39 0.69 0.17 0.16 	 NM -32 -11 -2 -15 -44 -11
	 DEHM-CESM WRF_Chem-CESM SILAM-CESM EnsMean-CESM DEHM-ERA5 WRF_Chem-ERA5 SILAM-ERA5 SILAM-ERA5 Observation 	Simulation ERA5-WRF CESM-WRF	Model DEHM SILAM WRF-Chem Ensemble DEHM SILAM	 r 0.62 0.77 0.39 0.69 0.69 0.17 0.16 0.08 	 N/M -32 -11 -2 -15 -44 -11 -20
	 DEHM-CESM WRF_Chem-CESM SILAM-CESM EnsMean-CESM DEHM-ERA5 WRF_Chem-ERA5 SILAM-ERA5 EnsMean-ERA5 Observation 	Simulation ERA5-WRF CESM-WRF	Model DEHM SILAM WRF-Chem Ensemble SILAM SILAM	<pre>r</pre>	 N/M -32 -11 -2 -15 -44 -11 -20 -20 -29





EXHAUSTION

O₃



PM_{2.5}

-6



WILDFIRES – Surface PM_{2.5}







STATISTICAL DOWNSCALING TOOL Correlation coefficients between

observed and simulated surface temperatures

Capital	Correlation	Correlation
	summer	winter
Amsterdam	0.79	0.79
Athens	0.87	0.66
Berlin	0.92	0.73
Bratislava	0.92	0.72
Brussels	0.91	0.80
Bucharest	0.89	0.80
Budapest	0.95	0.73
Copenhagen	0.90	0.85
Dublin	0.86	0.63
Helsinki	0.79	0.39
Lisbon	0.86	0.25
Ljubljana	0.96	0.22
London	0.93	0.58
Luxembourg	0.88	0.97
Madrid	0.81	0.52
Oslo	0.89	0.82
Paris	0.96	0.51
Prague	0.71	0.93
Riga	0.93	0.69
Rome	0.77	0.80
Sofia	0.92	0.85
Stockholm	0.30	0.64
Tallinn	0.93	0.80
Vienna	0.93	0.89
Vilnius	0.70	0.75
Warsaw	0.88	0.39
Zagreb	0.99	0.81



Correlation coefficients between observed and simulated surface PM2.5

Capital	Correlation annual		
Amsterdam	0.902		
Athens	0.755		
Berlin	0.966		
Bratislava	0.976		
Brussels	0.911		
Budapest	0.986		
Copenhagen	0.645		
Dublin	0.923		
Ljubljana	0.922		
London	0.931		
Lisbon	0.896		
Helsinki	0.999		
Madrid	0.794		
Oslo	0.760		
Prague	0.884		
Riga	0.937		
Rome	0.658		
Paris	0.974		
Stockholm	0.787		
Sofia	0.998		
Tallinn	0.988		
Vienna	0.996		
Vilnius	0.995		
Warsaw	0.388		
Zagreb	0.795		



KEY RECOMMENDATIONS

- European citizens.

- better consider European energy policies and population dynamics.
- More fine scale observations are needed (in-situ + satellites) at city scale



• Ambitious mitigation of health and climate relevant air pollutants are needed to improve the health of

• In addition to mitigation measures, effective adaptation strategies (e.g. nature-based solutions and heat action plans) are needed to alleviate the adverse impacts of heat waves and heat stress in Europe.

More research is needed to improve climate and air pollution models as well as emission estimates that

